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People Power
Deepening Democracy - Agenda for Reform

The purpose of a government is to make it easy for people to do good and difficult to do evil.

William Gladstone

Macro Perspective of Indian Polity

- Disaggregate volatility
- Broadly reflective of public opinion
- Ruling parties and powerful candidates do lose
- Rejection/Negative vote pretty common

Micro Perspective of Indian Polity

- Money power dominant
- Criminalization rampant
- Voting irregularities frequent
- People take money to vote
- Caste and divisive impulses are prominent

How is Democracy Surviving?

- A system of compensatory errors (competing distortions neutralize each other)
- Strength of Election Commission
- Tradition of neutrality of officials
- Pre-polling process scrupulously fair ( nominations, ballot papers, appointment of polling officials etc.)
- Post-polling process - completely non-partisan (transport, storage and counting of ballots and declaration of results)

Failure of Political Process

Interlocking vicious cycles Inexhaustible demand for illegitimate funds


# Interlocking Vicious Cycles Most expenditure is to buy votes 

Voter seeks money \& liquor
More expenditure
Large spending may or may not lead to success, but failure to spend almost certainly leads to defeat

Greater corruption
Greater cynicism
Voter seekś more money
Contd..

## Rise of political fiefdoms

Need for money, caste and local clout Parties are helpless in choice of candidates


Absence of internal party democracy
Competition among a few families in most constituencies
Oligopoly at constituency level
Contd..

Contd.. Interlocking Vicious Cycles
Vote delinked from public good
Centralized polity
No matter who wins, people lose
Vote does not promote public good
Voter maximizés short term gain
Money, liquor, caste, emotion and anger become dominant Vicious cyclé is perpetuated

Contd..

Interlocking Vicious Cycles Taxes delinked from services

Only $16 \%$ of GDP collected as taxes (union \& states)
Fiscal defícits and crisis
 services

alternative benefits for the subsidies given up

Wage Reduction


Deeper fiscal crisis
Poorer services and public goods
Perpetuation of poverty and backwardness
Contd..

Contd.. Interlocking Vicious Cycles

## Political survival and honesty not compatible

## Parliamentary executive

Government survival depends on legislative majority
Legislators spend a lot of money to get elected They need multiple returns to sustain the system

Corruption and mišgovernance endemic
Government has to yietd to legislators' demands
Corruption is perpetuated even if government has the will
Honesty not compatible with survival

Contd..

## Interlocking Vicious Cycles

## Social Divisions Exacerbated

## FPTP

Scattered minorities unrepresented
Marginalization and Ghettoization
Strategic voting and vote bank politics
Obscurantists become interlocutors drowning voices of reason and modernity
Politicians pander fundamentalists
Counter mobilization of other groups based on primordial loyalties
Communal polárization and strife

Contd..
Interlocking Vicious Cycles
Competence and integrity excluded
FPTP

Need for money power and caste clout
Honest and decent elements have little chance
Bad public policy and incompetent governance Deepening crisis

## Interlocking Vicious Cycles

Oligopoly of parties

## FPTP <br> Only a high threshold of voting ensures victory

Parties with $35-50 \%$ voté, or social groups with local dominance get elected
Significant but scattered support pays no electoral dividends Reform groups below threshold have no chance of winning Voters prefer other "winnable" parties
Marginalization of reformers and oligopoly of parties Status qưo continues

## Representational Distortions

## FPTP

Women \& deprived sections not represented
Reservation with rotation is arbitrary and leads to proxies Perpetuation of dominance of traditional groups Representational illegitimacy

What Ails Bureaucracy

- Life time security of bureaucracy
- Penchant for centralization and secrecy
- Lack of professionalism and specialized skills
- Absence of incentives for excellence
- No accountability
- Corruption and maladministration
$\qquad$

Distortions of State Power

- Positive Power restricted Negative power unchecked
- All organs are dysfunctional
- A system of alibis Victims of vicious cycle
- Change of players No change in the rules of the game
- Political process ought to be the solution But has become the problem itself

Keys to Resolution

- Crisis is systemic
- Most players are victims of a vicious cycle
- Change of players not enough
- Change of rules of the game needed
- Institutions are the key
- Resources are not a problem
- The way they are deployed is the key


## Key Reforms

| Electoral reforms | Funding |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Criminalization |
|  | Voting irregularities |
| Electoral system | Proportional representation |
|  | Separation of powers |
| Decentralization | Local governments |
| Rule of law | Judicial reforms |
| Accountability | Right to information |
|  | Citizens' charters |
|  | Independent crime investigation |

## The Track Which Impedes



Democratic Institutions (Engine)

Railings / Track

(political system)

Recent Political Reform Initiatives

- Disclosure of candidate details
- Political Funding Law
- Changes in Rajya Sabha election

Reforms in the Pipeline / Floundering

- Post office as nodal agency for voter registration
- Anti-defection law changes
- Limiting the size of Council of Ministers
- National Judicial Commission
- Right to Information
- Women's reservation in Legislatures

Key Systemic Reforms

- Proportional Representation
- Clear separation of powers
- Political party regulation


## Proportional Representation

- Gives representation to scattered minorities and reform groups
- A party's image and platform, not local clout and money power, matter
- Incentive to buy votes in a constituency will disappear
- Genuine competition among political groups and ideas

Contd..

Contd..
Proportional Representation

- Fair reconciliation of social and political groups
- No 'wasted' votes
- Disenchanted sections will find 'voice'
- Political fiefdoms will disappear
- Political process will get into a virtuous cycle


## Problems of Proportional Representation

## Problem

- Political fragmentation in a plural society
- Party bosses will be autocratic
- Link between voters and legislator is snapped


## Solution

- Reasonable threshold level
- Democratization of parties and choice of candidates
- Mixed system combining Proportional Representation with FPTP


## A Suggested Model for India

- Mixed, compensatory Proportional Representation
- A threshold of, say $10 \%$ vote in a major state for

Proportional Representation

- State as a unit for representation

Five Major Issues in PR

- Districting or territorial unit for PR application
- Electoral formula for distribution of seats
- Tiers for distribution of seats
- Threshold requirement for seat allocation
- Method of selection of party candidates


## Districting

- Nation as single electoral district - Netherlands and Israel
- 22 Nations - smaller districts
- Districting needed for democratic choice of party candidates; and to facilitate voters' knowledge of candidates
- Model for India: A ten member district

Electoral Formula

Highest-averages
methods

- d` Hondt
- "pure" Sainte-Lague
- "Modified" Sainte-Lague

Largest-remainders - Hare quota
methods

- Droop quota

Model for India: - LR - Hare quota method

- Step 1: Obtain quota : Total votes polled $\div$ No. of seats
- Step 2: Decide party seats : Total party votes $\div$ quota
- Step 3: Un-allotted seats go to parties with largest remainders


## Tiers for Distribution of Seats

- A second tier to reduce distortions in allocation of seats in first tier
- A simple model: remainders from local districts pooled at the higher tier
- Seats allocated to parties on the basis of pooled remainders
- Unallocated seats due to a party will go to those electoral districts with the highest remainder, and the next candidate in the party list in the district will be elected


## Distribution of Seats by the LR - Hara quota Method

Total Number of valid votes polled : 130,010; Number of seats to be allocated : 12
Votes required per seat (Quota) : 130,000/12=10834.

| Party | Votes | Quota | Quotient | Seats won |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Blues | 57000 | 10834 | 5.260 | 5 |
| Whites | 26000 | 10834 | $2.400^{\alpha}$ | 3 |
| Reds | 25950 | 10834 | 2.395 | 2 |
| Greens | 12000 | 10834 | 1.110 | 1 |
| Yellows | 6010 | 10834 | $0.550^{\alpha}$ | 1 |
| Pinks | 3050 | 10834 | 0.280 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 0 1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 0 + ( 2 )}$ b | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |

a. Seats going to the parties with the largest remainders.
b. Total number of seats allocated through largest remainders.
(Source: Andre Blais and Louis Massicotte: 'Electoral Systems' in Lawrence Leduc etl
'Comparing Democracies Election and Voting in Global Perspective' Sage, London 1996, Table
2.2,p.59)

## Distribution of Seats in the Second Tier

Total number of seats unallocated in all districts: 12

| Party | Total of Remainders in all <br> Districts | Seats Allocated |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Blues | 3.81 | $3+1^{\mathrm{a}}=4$ |
| Whites | 2.05 | $2+0=2$ |
| Reds | 2.67 | $2+0=2$ |
| Greens | 1.78 | $1+1^{\mathrm{a}}=1$ |
| Yellows | 0.96 | $0+1^{\mathrm{a}}=1$ |
| Pinks | 0.73 | $0+1^{\mathrm{a}}=1$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{8}+\mathbf{4}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathbf{1 2}$ |

a: Seats allocated on the basis of Largest Remainder

## Distribution of Seats in a Party Among Districts

Blues Party's allocation in the second tier : 04.00; Number of districts 10.00 Total remainder for the second tier 03.81

| District No. | Remainder in the quotient | Allocation of additional seats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0.32^{a}$ | 0 |
| 2 | $0.78^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 |
| 3 | 0.12 | 0 |
| 4 | $0.56^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 |
| 5 | 0.24 | 0 |
| 6 | 0.08 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.38 | 0 |
| 8 | $0.69^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 |
| 9 | 0.16 | 0 |
| 10 | $0.48^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 |
| Total | 3.81 | 4 |

[^0]
## Threshold Requirement

- Necessary to prevent fragmentation in a caste-ridden society
- Must be high enough to force interest aggregation and promote ideology-driven politics
- Must be low enough to allow real competition to entrenched parties and force reform
- Must take into account current political realities
- Must suit our diversity

A model: - 10\% of valid votes polled in a major state

- suitably higher thresholds in smaller states


## Selection of Party Candidates

- PR enhances the power of party bosses
- Party list becomes the basis of election
- The order of appearance in party list is critical
- Unlike in FPTP, a simple, list-based PR does not allow voters to judge candidates
- Democratic selection of candidates on the list, and their priority of election is critical
A model: - List will be for each electoral district
(of say 10 seats)
- Elected delegates of the party will select candidates and their order through secret ballot - district wise


## Mixed System

- Suitable for India
- $50 \%$ seats filled through FPTP system.
- Balance seats filled such that final composition reflects voting percentages of each party compensatory PR
- Parties with less than $10 \%$ vote will be disqualified, and the qualifying parties will share the $50 \%$ seats
- Independents, or candidates of small parties (below threshold) may be elected through FPTP. In such cases, those seats will be extra, and supernumerary seats will be created to accommodate them


## Sample Distribution of Seats in Mixed Compensatory PR System

Number of Seats to be distributed: 31

| SL No. | Party | No. of Votes | No. Seats won in Constituencies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 18,900 | 9 |
| 2 | B | 12,900 | 4 |
| 3 | C | 1,900 | 2 |
| 4 | D | 3,200 | 1 |
| Total |  | 36,900 | 16 |

Number of seats as per PR system: -

| Party A: - | $18,900 \times 31 / 36,900$ | $=$ | 15.878 | $=15+1$ | $=16$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Party B: - | $12,900 \times 31 / 36,900$ |  | $=$ | 10.837 | $=10+1=11$ |
| Party C: - | $1,900 \times 31 / 36,900$ |  | 1.596 | $=1+0$ | $=1$ |
| Party D: - | $3,200 \times 31 / 36,900$ |  | 2.688 | $=2+1$ | $=3$ |

## Sample Distribution of Seats in Mixed Compensatory PR System

Final composition of legislature from the State

| SL <br> No. | Party | No. Eligible <br> under PR <br> System | No. of Seats <br> won n <br> Constituencies | Balance No. <br> drawn from <br> party | Total No. of <br> Legislators |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 16 | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| 2 | B | 11 | 4 | 7 | 11 |

Strength of legislature (original)
$=31$
"Overhang Seat" added
$=01$
(Party "C" won 2 Constituency seats against eligibility of one) ---------New strength of legislature $=32$

## Other Reforms

- Direct election of head of government at State and local levels
- No one can buy a whole state electorate
- Image and agenda of leader will be decisive
- With separation of powers, there will be no incentive to overspend for legislative office
- At state level, there is no fear of authoritarianism as Union government, Election Commission, Supreme Court etc., will act as checks
- Honest leaders can survive in public office
- There will be checks and balances to prevent abuse of office


## Other Reforms

## Political party regulation

Membership • Free, open and voluntary

- Uniform, objective conditions / no restrictions
- No arbitrary expulsion
- Due process for disciplinary action

Leadership - By regular, periodic, free and secret ballot choice

- Opportunity to challenge leadership through formal procedures with no risk of being penalised
Choice of candidates
- By members at constituency level through secret ballot
- By elected delegates through secret ballot
- Central leadership cannot nominate candidates


# "Strategy without tactics is the slowest route to victory. Tactics without strategy is the noise before defeat " 

- Sun Tzu


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ : Seats allocated on the basis of Largest Remainder

